


Nathaniel Hawthorne
Attorney/Consultant, Ltd
Admitted: Ohio, Illinois, District of Columbia
nhawthorne @ earthlink.net
www.telecomlawyer.com

27600 Chagrin Blvd., Ste. 265
Cleveland OH 44122
tel: 216.514.4798; 216.514.4795
fax: 216.514.4865
efax: 216.472.8184



August 23, 2007

Marlene H. Dortch
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W. Suite TW-A325
Washington, D.C. 20554

This is a Waiver Petition.

Enclosed are the original and four copies of the Petition. An extra copy is also enclosed.

Please time stamp the extra copy and return it to me in the enclosed self addressed-stamped envelope.

Sincerely,

Nathaniel Hawthorne

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Nathaniel Hawthorne". Below the signature is the printed name "Nathaniel Hawthorne".

Enclosure: Petition for Waiver

Express Mail EQ 4690963000 US

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 27 2007

FCC - MAILROOM

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of a)	File No. SLD -
)	
Waiver Petition on behalf)	
)	
)	
of the)	
)	
East Allegheny School District)	
)	
)	
)	CC Docket No. 96 - 45
Federal-State Joint Board on)	
Universal Service)	
Changes to the Board of Directors of)	
The National Exchange Carrier)	
Association, Inc.)	CC Docket No. 97 - 21

Waiver Petition

East Allegheny School District

July 26, 2007

Marlene H. Dortch
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W. Suite TW-A325
Washington, D.C. 20554

The USAC denied Petitioners' application for discounted services under the E-rate program based on their failure to timely file their FCC Forms 471. In this Application, the Petitioner requests a waiver of the Commission's deadline for filing the FCC Form 471.

Facts

Jeff Mathews (Technology Director, East Allegheny) submitted a Form 471 application, attached hereto, to the SLD on time (February 18, 2005). However, during several factors prevented Mr. Mathews from submitting the FCC Form 471 certification: the 2005-2006 school year saw a large number of newly hired professional staff members. Additionally, the district implemented several new software programs to help with raising our achievement scores, such as Scantron. East Allegheny was experiencing a lot of difficulties with its grade book package, Classroll, which required quite a few man hours. Along with the new software, East Allegheny was updating the computer labs to assist the instructional coaches it hired, through the Accountability Block Grant. East Allegheny was also implementing its EAP tutoring grant which required many hours setting up the computers and capabilities for data collection.

Also, during this time, Mr. Mathews was ill and was not able to complete the paperwork (certification of the FCC Form 471) for submission by the deadline. The facts tract a prior FCC Order. See, DA-07-1180, (March 9, 2007). Attached.

(1) Form 471 Data

Form 471 Application Number: 485105
Funding Year: 8 07/01/2005-06/30/2006
Billed Entity Number for district: 125228
Date of Petition for Waiver: August 27, 2007

(2) **SLD Contact Information**

Jeff Mathews
Tel # 412/8234,8012
East Allegheny School District
1150 Jacks Run Rd.
N. Versailles PA 15137-2726

The Commission may waive any provision of its rules on its own motion or for good cause shown. 47 C.F.R. § 1.3. A rule may be waived where, as here, the particular facts make strict compliance inconsistent with the public interest. *Northeast Cellular Telephone Co. v. FCC*, 897 F.2d 1164, 1166 (D.C. Cir. 1990) (*Northeast Cellular*). The Commission may take into account considerations of hardship, equity, or more effective implementation of overall policy on an individual basis. *WAIT Radio v. FCC*, 418 F.2d 1153, 1157, (D.C. Cir. 1969), *affirmed by WAIT Radio v. FCC*, 459 F.2d 1203 (D.C. Cir. 1972). Waiver is appropriate if special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule, and such deviation would better serve the public interest than strict adherence to the general rule. *Northeast Cellular*, 897 F.2d at 1166.


Based on the facts and circumstances of specific cases, the FCC found that special circumstances warrant a waiver of the deadline for filing the FCC Form 471 found in section 54.507 of the Commission's rules. See, DA-07-1180 (March 9, 2007) As the Commission also found in the *Bishop Perry Order*, the

complete rejection of an application is not warranted, "given that the violation at issue is procedural, not substantive." Like the applicants in the *Bishop Perry Order*, the applicant at issue here have demonstrated that rigid adherence to filing procedures does not further the purposes of section 254(h) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 or serve the public interest.

This Requests for Waiver should have a minimal impact on the Universal Service Fund because the monies needed to fund these requests, should they all be fully funded, have already been collected and held in reserve. The Petitioner request that this Commission that good cause exists to grant and remand the underlying applications to USAC for further action. The Petitioner further request that the issues herein be resolved expeditiously.

Conclusion:

The special circumstances in this small school district (962 students of which 382 are free/ reduced eligible) relate to an illness in the family of the Petitioner's Technology Director, where he had to take care of his wife; the Petitioner is requesting that its Waiver Request be expeditiously granted based on rulings in similar waiver request and appeals.



Nathaniel Hawthorne

District of Columbia Bar No. : 237693
27600 Chagrin Blvd., Ste. 265
Cleveland, OH 44122
Tel.: 216.514.4798
E-mail: nhawthorne@earthlink.net

Attorney for
East Allegheny School District
Cc: East Allegheny School District

Affidavit

State of Pennsylvania

County of Allegheny

I, Jeff Mathews, being first duly sworn, depose and say the following:

1. I am employed by the East Allegheny School District as the Technology Director;
2. I personally submitted East Allegheny School District's Form 471 for E-rate Year 8 on February 18, 2005;
3. The 2005-2006 school year saw a large number of newly hired professional staff members.
4. Additionally, the district implemented several new software programs to help with raising our achievement scores, such as Scantron.
5. We were experiencing a lot of difficulties with our grade book package, Classroll, which required quite a few man hours.
6. Along with the new software, we were updating the computer labs to assist the instructional coaches we hired, through the Accountability Block Grant.
7. We also implemented our EAP tutoring grant which required many hours setting up the computers and capabilities for data collection.


8. Also, during this time, I was ill and was not able to complete the paperwork for submission by the deadline; and,
9. Since I was away from the District, I did not the signed certification for the FCC Form 471 until I returned to work in April 2005.

Further, Affiant sayeth naught


Jeff Matthews

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 22 day of August 2007.

Toni Valicenti My Commission expires _____



Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
Requests for Waiver of Decisions of the)	
Universal Service Administrator by)	
)	
Academy for Academic Excellence)	File Nos. SLD-539076, 539722, <i>et al.</i>
Apple Valley, California, <i>et al.</i>)	
)	
Schools and Libraries Universal Service)	CC Docket No. 02-6
Support Mechanism)	

ORDER

Adopted: March 9, 2007

Released: March 9, 2007

By the Acting Deputy Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. In this Order, we grant Requests for Waiver filed by 44 applicants seeking waiver of the FCC Form 471 filing window deadline for Funding Years 2004, 2005, 2006, or 2007¹ under the schools and libraries universal service support mechanism (also known as the E-rate program).² Upon review of these requests, we find that the issue raised here was recently addressed by the Commission in the *Bishop Perry Order*.³ Therefore, we remand the underlying applications to the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC or Administrator) for further action consistent with the *Bishop Perry Order*.⁴ To ensure that the underlying applications are resolved expeditiously, we direct USAC to complete its review of

¹ Funding Year 2004 started July 2, 2004 and ended on June 30, 2006. Funding Year 2005 started on July 1, 2005 and ended on June 30, 2006. Funding Year 2006 started on July 1, 2006 and will end on June 30, 2007. Funding Year 2007 will start on July 1, 2007 and end on June 30, 2008.

² A list of these pleadings is attached as an Appendix. Section 54.719(c) of the Commission's rules provides that any person aggrieved by an action taken by a division of the Administrator may seek review from the Commission. 47 C.F.R. § 54.719(c). Although Adair County R-1 School District, Alabama Institute for Deaf and Blind, Cloudcroft Municipal Schools, Endinburg Consolidated Independent School District, Portsmouth School Department, Providence Independent School District, Sacred Heart High School, Springfield School District, Stafford Municipal School District, The Logan School, and Underwood Community School District did not explicitly request a waiver of the FCC Form 471 filing window deadline, we will treat their Requests for Review as Requests for Waiver because the Administrator denied their FCC Forms 471 or denied their appeals on the grounds that their FCC Forms 471 were filed late.

³ See *Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Bishop Perry Middle School, New Orleans, LA, et al., Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, File Nos. SLD-487170, *et al.*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 21 FCC Rcd 5316 (2006) (*Bishop Perry Order*) (waiving the Commission's rules to, *inter alia*, allow applicants' to timely file their FCC Forms 471).

⁴ *Id.*

each application listed in the Appendix and issue an award or a denial based on a complete review and analysis no later than 90 days from release of this Order.

II. BACKGROUND

2. Under the schools and libraries universal service support mechanism, eligible schools, libraries, and consortia that include eligible schools and libraries may apply for discounts for eligible telecommunications services, Internet access, basic maintenance and internal connections.⁵ The applicant must file an FCC Form 470 to request discounted services, such as tariffed telecommunications services, month-to-month Internet access, cellular services, paging services, and any services for which the applicant is seeking a new contract.⁶ The FCC Form 470 must be posted on USAC's schools and libraries division website for at least 28 days before the applicant can file its FCC Form 471.⁷ The FCC Form 471 must be filed within each funding year's filing window because, under Commission rules, USAC treats all filings made within the filing window as if the applications were simultaneously received.⁸ Because the demand for funding each year has always exceeded the annual cap, if an FCC Form 471 is filed outside of the window, the applicant will not receive funding.⁹

3. USAC denied Petitioners' applications for discounted services under the E-rate program based on their failure to timely file their FCC Forms 471. In the Requests for Review before us, Petitioners request a waiver of the Commission's deadline for filing the FCC Form 471.

III. DISCUSSION

4. We grant the Requests for Waiver filed by 44 applicants seeking waiver of Commission rules or of USAC decisions that denied funding for applications that were filed outside of the FCC Form 471 filing window.¹⁰ Petitioners assert a waiver is appropriate for one of two reasons: (1) someone on the applicants' staff failed to file on time due to misunderstanding or personal emergencies, or (2) the delay in the filing or the receipt by USAC of the FCC Form 471 was due to circumstances out of the applicants' control. Specifically, several Petitioners state that they were unable to comply with the filing deadline due to staff illness or relatives of staff members who were ill.¹¹ Other Petitioners indicate that relevant

⁵ 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.501-54.504.

⁶ 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(b).

⁷ 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(b)(4).

⁸ *Id.*

⁹ 47 C.F.R. § 54.507.

¹⁰ See Appendix. The Commission may waive any provision of its rules on its own motion, and for good cause shown. 47 C.F.R. § 1.3. A rule may be waived where the particular facts make strict compliance inconsistent with the public interest. *Northeast Cellular Telephone Co. v. FCC*, 897 F.2d 1164, 1166 (D.C. Cir. 1990) (*Northeast Cellular*). In addition, the Commission may take into account considerations of hardship, equity, or more effective implementation of overall policy on an individual basis. *WAIT Radio v. FCC*, 418 F.2d 1153, 1157, (D.C. Cir. 1969), *affirmed by WAIT Radio v. FCC*, 459 F.2d 1203 (D.C. Cir. 1972). In sum, waiver is appropriate if special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule, and such deviation would better serve the public interest than strict adherence to the general rule. *Northeast Cellular*, 897 F.2d at 1166.

¹¹ Request for Waiver of Aurora City Schools; Request for Review for Nativity School South Park; Request for Waiver of Nellie Pederson Civic Library; Request for Waiver of Oak Ridge School District R-VI; Request for Waiver of Springvale Public Library; Request for Waiver of St. Mary's Episcopal School; and Request for Waiver of Western Massachusetts Regional Library.

members inadvertently failed to file the application forms in a timely manner.¹² Still other Petitioners claim that the rules and instructions for filing the FCC Form 471 are vague and unclear and that the resulting misunderstandings led to forms being filed after the filing window.¹³ The remainder of Petitioners failed to file an FCC Form 471 in a timely manner due to circumstances beyond their control, such as school reorganizations or inclement weather.¹⁴ In particular, Petitioners state that technical problems, either with their own equipment or while interfacing with USAC's electronic filing mechanism, prevented their FCC Forms 471 from being timely filed.¹⁵

5. Based on the facts and circumstances of these specific cases, we find that special circumstances warrant a waiver of the deadline for filing the FCC Form 471 found in section 54.507 of the Commission's rules.¹⁶ As the Commission found in the *Bishop Perry Order*, we find that the complete rejection of these applications is not warranted, given that the violation at issue is procedural, not substantive.¹⁷ Like the applicants in the *Bishop Perry Order*, the applicants at issue here have demonstrated that rigid adherence to filing procedures does not further the purposes of section 254(h) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 or serve the public interest.¹⁸ Although we base our decision to grant these requests in part on the fact that many of the rules at issue here are procedural, such a decision is in the context of the purposes of section 254 and cannot be applied generally to other Commission rules that are procedural in nature. Specifically, section 254 directs the Commission to "enhance . . . access to advanced telecommunications and information services for all public and non-profit elementary and secondary school classrooms, health care providers and libraries."¹⁹ Because applicants who are eligible

¹² Request for Review of Adair County R-1 School District; Request for Review of Alabama Institute for Deaf and Blind; Request for Waiver of Blessed Sacrament Regional School; Request for Waiver of Oconee Regional Library System; Request for Review of Springfield School District; and Request for Waiver of St. Paul School.

¹³ Request for Review of Cloudcroft Municipal School; Request for Waiver of Easton Public Schools; Request for Review of Edinburg Consolidated Independent School District; Request for Waiver of Grinnell Public Schools; Request for Waiver of Lawrence Public Schools; Request for Waiver of Maryetta Elementary School; Request for Waiver of Pottsboro Independent School District; Request for Review of Providence Independent School District; Request for Waiver of Ray Unified School #3; and Request for Waiver of St. Augustine School.

¹⁴ Request for Waiver of Arab City School District; Request for Waiver of Brantley County Board of Education; Request for Waiver of Fairport Harbor Schools; Request for Waiver of Lubavitch Educational Center; Request for Waiver of Martin County West Public Schools; Request for Waiver of Middleton School District #134; Request for Waiver of Pomeroy-Palmer Community School District; Request for Review of Portsmouth School Department; Request for Review of Sacred Heart High School; Request for Waiver of Saint Barnabas High School; Request for Waiver of The Epiphany School; Request for Review of The Logan School; and Request for Waiver of Warren County Vocational School District.

¹⁵ Request for Waiver of Academy for Academic Excellence; Request for Waiver of Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District; Request for Waiver of Osage County Interlocal Cooperative (On behalf of Frontier Public Schools); Request for Waiver of Osage County Interlocal Cooperative (On behalf of Newkirk Public School); Request for Waiver of Philadelphia Youth Build Charter School; Request for Waiver of Pinon Community School Board, Inc.; Request for Review of Stafford Municipal School District; and Request for Review of Underwood Community School District. One Petitioner claims that it attempted to mail its FCC Form 471 on time but that problems with a third-party carrier prevented the application from arriving in a timely manner. Request for Waiver of Pinon Community School Board, Inc.

¹⁶ 47 C.F.R. § 54.507.

¹⁷ *Bishop Perry Order*, 21 FCC Rcd at 5323, para. 14.

¹⁸ See 47 U.S.C. § 254(h). The Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56, amended the Communications Act of 1934.

¹⁹ See 47 U.S.C. § 254(h).

for funding will now receive the opportunity for that funding where previously it was denied for minor errors, we believe granting waivers of these rules in these instances, particularly in light of the limited 15-day correction period we impose, will better ensure that universal service support is distributed first to the applicants who are determined by our rules to be most in need, and thus, further the goals of section 254. As the Commission noted in the *Bishop Perry Order*, even in the context of the schools and libraries program, the waivers here should not be read to mean that applicants will not be required in the future to comply fully with our procedural rules, which are vital to the efficient operation of the E-rate program.²⁰

6. We also note that granting these Requests for Waiver should have a minimal impact on the Universal Service Fund because the monies needed to fund these requests, should they all be fully funded, have already been collected and held in reserve.²¹ We therefore find that good cause exists to grant and remand the underlying applications to USAC for further action consistent with the *Bishop Perry Order*. In remanding these applications to USAC, we make no finding as to the ultimate eligibility of the services. To ensure these issues are resolved expeditiously, we direct USAC to complete its review of the applications listed in the Appendix and issue an award or a denial based on a complete review and analysis no later than 90 calendar days from release of this Order.

7. Finally, we are committed to guarding against waste, fraud, and abuse, and ensuring that funds disbursed through the E-rate program are used for appropriate purposes. Although we grant the Requests for Waiver addressed here, this action in no way affects the authority of the Commission or USAC to conduct audits and investigations to determine compliance with the E-rate program rules and requirements. Because audits or investigations may provide information showing that a beneficiary or service provider failed to comply with the statute or the Commission's rules, such proceedings can reveal instances in which universal service funds were improperly disbursed or in a manner inconsistent with the statute or the Commission's rules. To the extent we find that funds were not used properly, we will require USAC to recover such funds through its normal processes. We emphasize that we retain the discretion to evaluate the uses of monies disbursed through the E-rate program and to determine on a case-by-case basis that waste, fraud, or abuse of program funds occurred and that recovery is warranted. We remain committed to ensuring the integrity of the program and will continue to aggressively pursue instances of waste, fraud, or abuse under the Commission's procedures and in cooperation with law enforcement agencies.

IV. ORDERING CLAUSES

8. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and pursuant to authority delegated in sections 0.91, 0.291, 1.3, and 54.722(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3, and 54.722(a), that the Requests for Waiver of 47 C.F.R. §54.507 filed by the Petitioners as listed in the Appendix ARE GRANTED and REMANDED to USAC for further action consistent with the terms of this Order.

9. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and pursuant to the

²⁰ *Bishop Perry Order*, 21 FCC Rcd at 5319, para. 9.

²¹ We estimate that the Request for Review granted in this Order involve applications for approximately \$1.8 million in funding for Funding Years 2004-2007. We note that USAC has already reserved sufficient funds to address outstanding appeals. See, e.g., Universal Service Administrative Company, Federal Universal Service Support Mechanisms Fund Size Projections for the First Quarter 2007, dated Nov. 2, 2006. See USAC website, <http://search.universalservice.org/query.html?qt=fund+size+projections> (retrieved March 5, 2007).

authority delegated in sections 0.91, 0.291, 1.3, and 54.722(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3, and 54.722(a), USAC SHALL COMPLETE its review of the underlying applications as listed in the Appendix and ISSUE an award or a denial based on a complete review and analysis no later than 90 days from release of this Order.

10. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to authority delegated under sections 0.91, 0.291 and 1.102 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.102, this Order SHALL BE EFFECTIVE upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Renée R. Crittendon
Acting Deputy Chief
Wireline Competition Bureau

APPENDIX

Requests for Waiver

Applicant	Application Number	Funding Year
Academy for Academic Excellence Apple Valley, CA	539076, 539722	2006
Adair County R-1 School District Novinger, MO	539280	2006
Alabama Institute for Deaf and Blind Talladega, AL	402182	2004
Arab City School District Arab, AL	522281	2006
Aurora City Schools Aurora, OH	538287, 538375, 538381, 538385, 538392	2006
Blessed Sacrament Regional School Margate, NJ	FCC Form 470 Number 957270000	2006
Brantley County Board of Education Nahunta, GA	538866	2006
Cloudcroft Municipal Schools Cloudcroft, NM	539260	2006
Easton Public Schools North Easton, MA	FCC Form 470 Numbers 240050000552718, 77432000059399	2006
Endinburg Consolidated Independent School District Edinburg, TX	457280	2005
Fairport Harbor Schools Fairport Harbor, OH	479146	2005
Grinnell Unified School District Grinnell, KS	538532	2006
Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District Hoopa, CA	537945	2006
Lawrence Public Schools Lawrence, MI	539201	2006
Lubavitch Educational Center Miami, FL	FCC Form 470 Number 177450000556618	2006
Martin County West Public Schools Welcome, MN	FCC Form 470 Number 100040000611630	2007
Maryetta Elementary School Stilwell, OK	482137	2005
Middleton School District #134 Middleton, ID	FCC Form 471 Number 175290000591254	2007
Nativity School South Park Pittsburgh, PA	538541	2006
Nellie Pederson Civic Library Clifton, YX	540203	2006
Oak Ridge School District R-VI	539029	2006